

MARJORIE TAYLOR GREENE WAS ANTI-ABORTION

Highlights:

- Marjorie Taylor Greene supported efforts to ban abortion nationally.
 - Greene called for a national abortion ban multiple times.
 - Greene supported the Life at Conception Act, which could ban abortion nationally.
 - The legislation could also threaten access to the morning-after pill and IUDs.
 - Greene called for prayer to “end abortion in America.”
- Marjorie Taylor Greene opposed Roe v. Wade.
 - Greene called a draft opinion from the Supreme Court overturning Roe v. Wade “a victory for God.”
 - Greene celebrated after the Supreme Court officially overturned Roe v. Wade.
 - Greene said the fight to end abortion was not over after Roe v. Wade was overturned.
 - Greene voted at least twice against codifying the right to abortion into law.
- Marjorie Taylor Greene said she opposed exceptions to abortion bans for survivors of rape and incest.
 - Greene dismissed the case of a 10-year-old in Ohio who was raped and had to travel out-of-state to obtain an abortion.
 - Greene called a member of Congress who was a rape survivor “trash” for advocating for exceptions to abortion bans for survivors of rape and incest.
- Marjorie Taylor Greene opposed access to contraception.
 - Greene voted at least twice against codifying the right to contraception access.
 - Greene railed against other members of Congress that supported contraception.
 - Greene falsely said that Plan B killed “a baby in the womb.”
- Marjorie Taylor Greene praised a Texas abortion law that banned the procedure before most people know they are pregnant.
- Marjorie Taylor Greene had a history of making offensive and incendiary remarks about abortion.
 - Greene called abortion the “worst scar” that a person could “carry.”
 - Greene said that people should remain celibate to avoid abortions.
 - Greene said she did not think an elderly woman could express her opinion on abortion because she possibly could no longer ovulate.
 - Greene called abortion “the murder of a child.”
- Marjorie Taylor Greene voted to erect additional barriers to abortion access.
 - Greene effectively voted against reaffirming the FDA’s authority to approve reproductive health products.
 - The legislation would allow the FDA to block states from restricting medication abortion.
 - Greene voted to prohibit interstate travel for abortion services.
- Marjorie Taylor Greene voted to limit reproductive care for U.S. service members.
 - Greene voted against the Defense Department reproductive service reimbursement policy.
 - Greene effectively voted to restrict access to reproductive care to service members and their families.
- Marjorie Taylor Greene supported so-called “Born-Alive” legislation.
 - So-called “Born-Alive” legislation would unnecessarily criminalize doctors.

- Marjorie Taylor Greene cited anti-abortion talking points when answering questions about her vote against life-saving legislation.
- Marjorie Taylor Greene said she wanted to defund Planned Parenthood.
- Marjorie Taylor Greene touted support from anti-abortion groups.

Greene Supported Efforts To Ban Abortion Nationally

GREENE CALLED FOR A NATIONAL ABORTION BAN MULTIPLE TIMES

Greene Called To “END ABORTION IN AMERICA!” According to Greene’s Twitter, “END ABORTION IN AMERICA!” [Twitter, @mtgreenee, [1/2/21](#)]

Greene Said It Was “Time We END ABORTION IN AMERICA!” According to Greene’s Twitter, “Over 62 million babies slaughtered over the last 48 years -- a national disgrace! It’s time we END ABORTION IN AMERICA!” [Twitter, @mtgreenee, [1/22/24](#)]

GREENE SUPPORTED THE LIFE AT CONCEPTION ACT, WHICH COULD BAN ABORTION NATIONALLY

Greene Was A Co-Sponsor Of The Life At Conception Act

118th Congress: Greene Co-Sponsored The Life At Conception Act. [H.R.432, Co-Sponsors, accessed [1/20/23](#)]

117th Congress: Greene Co-Sponsored The Life At Conception Act. [H.R. 1011, Co-Sponsors, [2/11/21](#)]

The Life At Conception Act Would Ban Abortion Nationwide

UC Davis School Of Law Professor Mary Ziegler On The Life At Conception Act: “It Would Be A Nationwide Abortion Ban.” According to the Los Angeles Times, “The Life at Conception Act is fewer than 300 words, but its language leaves little room for ambiguity on abortion. The bill, introduced in the U.S. House earlier in the congressional session, seeks ‘equal protection for the right to life of each born and preborn human person,’ specifying that it covers ‘all stages of life, including the moment of fertilization, cloning, or other moment at which an individual member of the human species comes into being.’ Put simply: ‘It would be a nationwide abortion ban,’ said Mary Ziegler, a professor at UC Davis School of Law who studies reproductive rights.” [Los Angeles Times, [8/29/22](#)]

The Legislation Could Also Threaten Access To The Morning-After Pill And IUDs

Ziegler Claimed The Legislation Could Ban Some Forms Of Contraception, Including The Morning-After Pill. According to the Los Angeles Times, “The Life at Conception Act is fewer than 300 words, but its language leaves little room for ambiguity on abortion. The bill, introduced in the U.S. House earlier in the congressional session, seeks ‘equal protection for the right to life of each born and preborn human person,’ specifying that it covers ‘all stages of life, including the moment of fertilization, cloning, or other moment at which an individual member of the human species comes into being.’ Put simply: ‘It would be a nationwide abortion ban,’ said Mary Ziegler, a professor at UC Davis School of Law who studies reproductive rights. [...] Ziegler, the UC Davis law professor, said the legislation’s ban on abortion could also extend to some contraceptives, such as morning-after pills, she said.” [Los Angeles Times, [8/29/22](#)]

Legal Scholars Said The Legislation Could Threaten Access To IUDs. According to New York, “The Life at Conception Act is a classic ‘personhood’ bill treating every fetus, embryo, and fertilized ovum as just like me and you when it comes to fundamental rights. While the bill does say it does not ‘authorize the prosecution of any woman for the death of her unborn child,’ there’s no exception to the ‘right to life’ for pregnancies involving rape, incest, or even threats to the life of the mother. Most legal scholars believe ‘personhood’ statutes could ban morning-after pills or the use of IUDs.” [New York, [8/31/22](#)]

GREENE CALLED FOR PRAYER TO “END ABORTION IN AMERICA”

Greene Asked For Prayer To “End Abortion In America.” According to Greene’s Twitter, “Pray to end abortion in America!” [Twitter, @mtgreenee, [3/3/24](#)]

Greene Opposed Roe v. Wade

GREENE CALLED THE SUPREME COURT DRAFT OPINION OVERTURNING ROE V. WADE “A VICTORY FOR GOD”

May 2022: In A Draft Opinion, The Supreme Court Appeared Ready To Strike Down Roe v. Wade

May 2, 2022: A Draft Opinion From The Supreme Court Showed The Justices Would Strike Down Roe v. Wade Imminently. According to Politico, “The Supreme Court has voted to strike down the landmark Roe v. Wade decision, according to an initial draft majority opinion written by Justice Samuel Alito circulated inside the court and obtained by POLITICO. The draft opinion is a full-throated, unflinching repudiation of the 1973 decision which guaranteed federal constitutional protections of abortion rights and a subsequent 1992 decision — Planned Parenthood v. Casey — that largely maintained the right. ‘Roe was egregiously wrong from the start,’ Alito writes.” [Politico, [5/2/22](#)]

Greene Called The Draft Decision Overturning Roe v. Wade “A Great Victory For God And The Unborn.” According to the Independent, “Congresswoman Marjorie Taylor Greene appeared to weep with joy as she heard news that Roe v Wade could be overturned – and was instantly scolded on social media. [...] ‘There’s a draft opinion out now to overturn Roe v Wade and this is a great victory,’ Ms Greene added of the news. ‘This is a great victory for God and the unborn who have been innocently slain for years, [for] my entire life time’. The draft, which was leaked to Politico, said the majority-conservative Supreme Court could overturn Roe within weeks, with the current court term due to end in July.” [Independent, [5/3/22](#)]

GREENE CELEBRATED AFTER THE SUPREME COURT OFFICIALLY OVERTURNED ROE V. WADE

June 2022: The Supreme Court Overturned Roe v. Wade

June 24, 2022: The Supreme Court Overturned Roe v. Wade. According to the New York Times, “The Supreme Court on Friday overturned Roe v. Wade, eliminating the constitutional right to abortion after almost 50 years in a decision that will transform American life, reshape the nation’s politics and lead to all but total bans on the procedure in about half of the states.” [New York Times, [6/24/22](#)]

Greene Celebrated The Supreme Court Decision

Greene Said Roe v. Wade Being Overturned Was “A Miracle” And “A Blessing.” According to the Hill, “Rep. Marjorie Taylor Greene (R-Ga.) was swarmed by the media and angry pro-abortion rights protesters as she walked through a crowd of demonstrators outside the Supreme Court, just about 15 minutes after the announcement of its decision overturning the landmark Roe v. Wade abortion rights case. [...] But Greene, celebrating the decision, smiled as her staff blocked protesters advancing at the congresswoman and escorted her to a secure location. ‘I am so happy. It’s a blessing. It’s a miracle,’ Greene said.” [Hill, [6/24/22](#)]

Greene Said She Was “Thrilled” And That She “Cried” When She Saw Roe v. Wade Was Overturned. According to Newsweek, “After Roe V. Wade was overturned, Greene celebrated the Supreme Court’s decision on social media, calling it a ‘miracle.’ In a video posted on X, formerly Twitter, the Georgia Republican said at the time, ‘I think it’s a miracle. I’m so thrilled, like I’ve cried about this.’ Greene added: ‘Everybody here is celebrating that the Supreme Court had the courage, they had the bravery, overturning Roe v. Wade, giving it back to the states.’” [Newsweek, [11/8/23](#)]

Greene Called The Supreme Court “Courageous” For Their Decision To Overturn Roe V. Wade. According to the Hill, “Greene told The Hill that the Supreme Court was ‘courageous’ for the decision in Dobbs vs. Jackson Women’s Health, and noted that the decision does not outlaw abortion nationwide. ‘It’s just taking it back to the states, giving the right back to the states to make their own laws regarding abortion, which is extremely important,’ Greene said.” [Hill, [6/24/22](#)]

GREENE SAID THE FIGHT TO END ABORTION WAS NOT OVER AFTER ROE V. WADE WAS OVERTURNED

Greene Said The Fight To End Abortion Was Not Over After Roe v. Wade Was Overturned. According to Newsweek, “The Supreme Court may have overturned Roe v. Wade, but abortions in the U.S. are by no means over, Representative Marjorie Taylor Greene said Friday. [...] ‘The Supreme Court through the Dobbs decision overturned Roe v. Wade,’ Greene said. ‘That means that it goes back to the states and they have the right to make their own abortion laws, whether they completely outlaw abortion in their state or they allow it to occur at some level. Everyone needs to understand it’s not totally over.’” [Newsweek, [6/24/22](#)]

GREENE VOTED AT LEAST TWICE AGAINST CODIFYING THE RIGHT TO ABORTION INTO LAW

2022: Greene Voted Against The Women’s Health Protection Act, Which Would Codify The Right To Receive Abortion Services And The Right For Medical Providers To Provide Abortion Services And Against Prohibiting Abortion Restrictions. In July 2022, according to Congressional Quarterly, Greene voted against the Women’s Health Protection Act of 2022, which would “statutorily establish that health care providers have a right to provide and patients have a right to receive abortion services, and it would prohibit certain restrictions related to abortion services. The bill would specify that rights established by the bill may not be restricted by certain requirements or limitations related to abortion services, including prohibitions on abortion prior to fetal viability, or after fetal viability if a provider determines that continuation of a pregnancy would pose a risk to a patient’s life or health; requirements that patients disclose reasons for seeking an abortion or make medically unnecessary in-person appointments; requirements that providers provide medically inaccurate information or perform specific medical tests or procedures in connection with the provision of abortion services; limitations on providers’ ability to prescribe drugs based on good-faith medical judgment, provide services via telemedicine or provide immediate services when a delay would pose a risk to a patient’s health; and requirements for facilities and personnel that would not apply to facilities providing medically comparable procedures. It would also prohibit requirements or limitations that are similar to those established by the bill or that impede access to abortion services and expressly or implicitly single out abortion services, providers or facilities.” The vote was on passage. The House passed the bill by a vote 219-210, thus the bill was sent to the Senate. The Senate did not take substantive action on the bill. [House Vote 360, [7/15/22](#); Congressional Quarterly, [7/15/22](#); Congressional Actions, [H.R. 8296](#)]

- **The Bill Was Another An Attempt To Codify Roe v. Wade Into Law.** According to the Washington Post, “One bill, the Women’s Health Protection Act, would enshrine the protections of Roe v. Wade into law. The House already passed the bill last year, but it did not advance in a Senate vote in May. The House passed the bill, 219-210, prompting applause from Democrats in the chamber. All Republicans and Rep. Henry Cuellar (D-Tex.) voted against the measure.” [Washington Post, [7/15/22](#)]
- **The Bill Authorized The Justice Department, Medical Providers, And Individuals And Entities To Sue In Federal Court Against Any Governmental Entity That Were To Implement Abortion Restrictions.** According to Congressional Quarterly, “It would authorize the Justice Department, health care providers and private individuals and entities to bring a civil action in U.S. district court for injunctive relief against any state or government official charged with implementing or enforcing a requirement or limitation challenged as a violation of rights established by the bill. It would authorize district courts to award appropriate equitable relief, including temporary, preliminary or permanent injunctive relief, and to award costs of litigation to a prevailing plaintiff. It would require courts to ‘liberally construe’ provisions of the bill to effectuate its purposes.” [Congressional Quarterly, [7/15/22](#)]

2021: Greene Voted Against The Women's Health Protection Act Of 2021, Which Would Protect The Right To Abortion Access And Prohibit Restrictions On Abortion. In September 2021, Greene voted against the Women's Health Protection Act of 2021 which would, according to Congressional Quarterly, “statutorily establish that health care providers have a right to provide and patients have a right to receive abortion services, and it would prohibit certain restrictions related to abortion services. The bill would specify that rights established by the bill may not be restricted by certain requirements or limitations related to abortion services, including prohibitions on abortion prior to fetal viability, or after fetal viability if a provider determines that continuation of a pregnancy would pose a risk to a patient’s life or health; requirements that patients disclose reasons for seeking an abortion or make medically unnecessary in-person appointments; requirements that providers provide medically inaccurate information or perform specific medical tests or procedures in connection with the provision of abortion services; limitations on providers’ ability to prescribe drugs based on good-faith medical judgment, provide services via telemedicine or provide immediate services when a delay would pose a risk to a patient’s health; and requirements for

facilities and personnel that would not apply to facilities providing medically comparable procedures. It would also prohibit requirements or limitations that are similar to those established by the bill or that impede access to abortion services and expressly or implicitly single out abortion services, providers or facilities.” The vote was on passage. The House passed the bill by a vote of 218-211. The Senate failed to invoke cloture on the bill in February 2022. [House Vote 295, [9/24/21](#); Congressional Quarterly, [9/24/21](#); Congressional Actions, [H.R. 3755](#)]

2022: Greene Effectively Voted Three Times To Attempt To Block The Women’s Protection Act

2022: Greene Effectively Voted Against Codifying Abortion Access Protections. In July 2022, according to Congressional Quarterly, Greene voted for the “Fischbach, R-Minn., motion to recommit the bill to the House Energy and Commerce Committee.” The vote was on a motion to recommit. The House rejected the motion by a vote 209-218. [House Vote 359, [7/15/22](#); Congressional Quarterly, [7/15/22](#); Congressional Actions, [H.R. 8296](#)]

2022: Greene Effectively Voted Against Codifying Abortion Access Protections. In July 2022, according to Congressional Quarterly, Greene voted against the “adoption of the rule (H Res 1224) that would provide for House consideration of [...] the Women's Health Protection Act (HR 8296).” The vote was on the adoption of the rule. The House adopted the rule by a vote 217-204. [House Vote 304, [7/13/22](#); Congressional Quarterly, [7/13/22](#); Congressional Actions, [H.R. 8296](#); Congressional Actions, [H.Res. 1224](#)]

2022: Greene Effectively Voted Against Codifying Abortion Access Protections. In July 2022, according to Congressional Quarterly, Greene voted against the “motion to order the previous question (thus ending debate and possibility of amendment) on the rule (H Res 1224) that would provide for House consideration of [...] the Women's Health Protection Act (HR 8296).” The vote was on a motion to order the previous question. The House agreed to the motion by a vote 218-208. [House Vote 303, [7/13/22](#); Congressional Quarterly, [7/13/22](#); Congressional Actions, [H.R. 8296](#); Congressional Actions, [H.Res. 1224](#)]

Greene Said She Opposed Exceptions To Abortion Bans For Survivors Of Rape And Incest

GREENE DISMISSED RAPE AND INCEST EXCEPTIONS FOR ABORTION BANS

Greene Said That Rape Or Incest Was Not An “Excuse To Continue Abortions.” According to Greene’s Twitter, “Fewer than 1% of all abortions take place because of rape and incest. With the amazing ultrasound and 3D imaging to see the beautiful growth of a human being in the womb, we are without excuse to continue abortions. The abortion lie must end. #ChooseLife” [Twitter, @mtgreene, [9/5/21](#)]

GREENE DISMISSED THE CASE OF A 10-YEAR-OLD WHO WAS RAPED IN OHIO AND HAD TO GO OUT-OF-STATE TO GET AN ABORTION

A 10-Year-Old Rape Survivor Had To Travel Across State Lines To Get An Abortion

A 10-Year-Old Rape Survivor Had To Travel From Ohio To Indiana To Get An Abortion After Nearly All Abortions Were Banned In Ohio. According to NPR, “Bernard came under the heat of the national spotlight after she treated a 10-year-old Ohio girl who had been a victim of rape. On June 24, the Supreme Court overturned decades of abortion-rights precedent when it handed down its ruling in *Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization*. More than a dozen so-called ‘trigger bans’ restricting abortion went into effect around the country — including in Ohio, where nearly all abortions after six weeks are banned, even in cases of rape and incest. Soon after, the girl's family discovered that she was pregnant. They traveled across state lines to Indiana, where abortion has remained legal. Bernard administered the girl's medication abortion.” [NPR, [7/26/22](#)]

Greene Said The Case Of The 10-Year-Old Rape Victim Was A “Rare Occasion”

Greene Said The Child Rape Survivor Was “A Very Rare, Rare, Rare Occasion So That Should Not Be The Entire Premise Of The Argument On Abortion.” According to Vanity Fair, “After the caller appeared to reference the 10-year-old

who was raped in Ohio and had to go out of state to get an abortion, Greene told her: “That is a very rare, rare, rare occasion so that should not be the entire premise of the argument on abortion. Again ma’am, I know you say it’s your body your choice but I don’t think you’re having any children any time soon and I think we need to focus on the future of America and that’s our children...and the unborn, they’re our future also. So let’s focus on protecting their lives instead of being focused on the lie that abortion is women’s health care because that’s not health care.” [Vanity Fair, [10/25/22](#)]

GREENE CALLED A MEMBER OF CONGRESS WHO WAS A RAPE SURVIVOR “TRASH” FOR SUPPORTING EXCEPTIONS TO ABORTION BANS FOR RAPE AND INCEST

Greene Called Rep. Nancy Mace, A Rape Survivor, “Trash” Because She Supported Rape And Incest Exceptions In Abortion Bans. According to Jezebel, “Congresswoman Marjorie Taylor Greene (R-Ga.), who’s so egregiously awful that she was stripped of her committee assignments and keeps getting fined, called her Republican colleague Nancy Mace of South Carolina ‘trash’ and ‘pro-abortion’ on Tuesday because Mace—a rape survivor, single mom and former Waffle House server—supports rape and incest exceptions in abortion bans.” [Jezebel, [11/30/21](#)]

Greene Opposed Access To Contraception

GREENE VOTED AT LEAST TWICE AGAINST CODIFYING THE RIGHT TO CONTRACEPTION ACCESS

2022: Greene Voted Against Codifying The Right To Access Contraceptives And The Right For Health Care Providers To Provide Contraceptives To Their Patients. In July 2022, according to Congressional Quarterly, Greene voted against the Right to Contraception Act, which would “protect a person's ability to access contraceptives and to engage in contraception, and to protect a health care provider's ability to provide contraceptives, contraception, and information related to contraception.” The vote was on passage. The House passed the bill by a vote 228-195, thus the bill was sent to the Senate. The Senate did not take substantive action on the bill. [House Vote 385, [7/21/22](#); Congressional Quarterly, [7/21/22](#); Congressional Actions, [H.R. 8373](#)]

- **The Bill Was A Resulted From The U.S. Supreme Court’s Overturn Of Roe V. Wade And Concerns That The Court May Revisit Same-Sex Marriage And The Right To Contraception.** According to the New York Times, “House Democratic leaders opted to move forward with the bill after the Supreme Court’s decision overturning abortion rights raised worries about the prospect that the justices might revisit cases that affirmed same-sex marriage rights and the right to contraception. The debate in Congress thrust the issue into the midterm election campaign, where Democrats are eager to draw a distinction between their party’s support for L.G.B.T.Q. rights and opposition by many Republicans.” [New York Times, [7/19/22](#)]
- **The Bill Would Establish The Federal Right For Contraception Access And The Right For Medical Providers To Provide Contraceptives.** According to Reuters, “The bill would create a federal right for people to access contraceptives and for doctors and pharmacists to provide them. Contraceptives are used by 88% of U.S. women of childbearing age who are not trying to get pregnant, according to the Guttmacher Institute, an abortion rights advocacy group.” [Reuters, [7/21/22](#)]
- **Although None Have Passed, Several State Legislatures Have Introduced Legislation To Restrict Contraceptive Access And 12 States Currently Permit Health Care Providers To Refuse To Prescribe Contraception.** According to Reuters, “Some state legislatures have introduced bills to restrict access to contraceptives, though they have not passed. In addition, 12 states allow health providers to refuse contraception, according to the Guttmacher Institute.” [Reuters, [7/21/22](#)]
- **The Bill Would Protect Oral And Emergency Contraceptives, Intrauterine Devices And Condoms.** According to The Hill, “Contraceptives protected under the legislation include oral and emergency medications, intrauterine devices and condoms.” [The Hill, [7/21/22](#)]
- **The Bill Would Allow The U.S. Attorney General, Health Care Providers And Injured Individuals To Sue States That Violate The Right To Contraception Access.** According to The Hill, “Additionally, the bill authorizes the attorney general, health care providers and other individuals to take civil action against any states that violate the provisions of the bill.” [The Hill, [7/21/22](#)]

2022: Greene Voted Against Codifying The Right To Access Contraceptives And The Right For Health Care Providers To Provide Contraceptives To Their Patients. In July 2022, according to Congressional Quarterly, Greene voted against the Right to Contraception Act, which would “protect a person's ability to access contraceptives and to engage in contraception, and to protect a health care provider's ability to provide contraceptives, contraception, and information related to contraception.” The vote was on passage. The House passed the bill by a vote 228-195, thus the bill was sent to the Senate. The Senate did not take substantive action on the bill. [House Vote 385, [7/21/22](#); Congressional Quarterly, [7/21/22](#); Congressional Actions, [H.R. 8373](#)]

Greene Effectively Voted At Least Three Times Against Protecting Access To Contraceptives

2022: Greene Effectively Voted Against Protecting Access To Contraceptives. In July 2022, according to Congressional Quarterly, Greene voted for the “Hinson, R-Iowa, motion to recommit the bill to the House Energy and Commerce Committee.” The vote was on a motion to recommit. The House rejected the motion by a vote 190-234. [House Vote 384, [7/21/22](#); Congressional Quarterly, [7/21/22](#); Congressional Actions, [H.R. 8373](#)]

2022: Greene Effectively Voted Against Protecting Access To Contraceptives. In July 2022, according to Congressional Quarterly, Greene voted against the “adoption of the rule (H Res 1232) that would provide for one hour of general debate on each bill.” The vote was on the adoption of the rule. The House adopted the rule by a vote 219-200. [House Vote 366, [7/19/22](#); Congressional Quarterly, [7/19/22](#); Congressional Actions, [H.R. 8373](#); Congressional Actions, [H.Res. 1232](#)]

2022: Greene Effectively Voted Against Protecting Access To Contraceptives. In July 2022, according to Congressional Quarterly, Greene voted against the “motion to order the previous question (thus ending debate and possibility of amendment) on the rule (H Res 1232) that would provide for one hour of general debate on each bill.” The vote was on a motion to order the previous question. The House agreed to the motion by a vote 219-199. [House Vote 365, [7/19/22](#); Congressional Quarterly, [7/19/22](#); Congressional Actions, [H.R. 8373](#); Congressional Actions, [H.Res. 1232](#)]

GREENE VOTED AGAINST PROVIDING AFFORDABLE ACCESS TO CONTRACEPTION FOR VETERANS

2021: Greene Voted Against Prohibiting Copayments For Contraceptives Covered By Health Insurance Plans Without A Cost-Sharing Requirement For Veterans. In June 2021, Greene voted against the Equal Access to Contraception for Veterans Act which would, according to Congressional Quarterly, “prohibit the Veterans Affairs Department from requiring copayments from a veteran for any contraceptive items that are required to be covered by health insurance plans without a cost-sharing requirement.” The vote was on passage. The House passed the bill by a vote of 245-181, after initially failing to meet 2/3 of the vote on June 15th, 2021. The Senate did not take substantive action on the bill. [House Vote 184, [6/24/21](#); Congressional Quarterly, [6/24/21](#); Congressional Actions, [H.R. 239](#)]

GREENE RAILED AGAINST OTHER MEMBERS OF CONGRESS THAT SUPPORTED CONTRACEPTION

Greene On Other Members Of Congress Supporting Plan B: “You Cannot Call Yourself #ProLife If You Vote To Fund Abortion Pills With Taxpayer Money.” According to Greene’s Twitter, “Fighting on the House floor against every single member of congress that is lying by calling Plan B abortion pills ‘contraception.’ You cannot call yourself #ProLife if you vote to fund abortion pills with taxpayer money.” [Twitter, @mtgreene, [6/24/21](#)]

GREENE FALSELY SAID PLAN B KILLED “A BABY IN THE WOMB”

Greene: “The Plan B Pill Kills A Baby In The Womb, Once A Woman Is Already Pregnant.” According to Yahoo Life, “If you've been told that Plan B is a contraceptive — a drug that prevents pregnancy — you may have been confused by the video Rep. Marjorie Taylor Greene shared on her Twitter Thursday. ‘The Plan B pill kills a baby in the womb, once a woman is already pregnant,’ Greene says in the video. Her words were delivered as part of a speech the polarizing lawmaker gave in opposition to a bill that would give veterans free access to contraceptive care. But her thoughts on Plan B, experts tell Yahoo Life, are dangerously misinformed.” [Yahoo Life, [6/25/21](#)]

Dr. Mary Jane Minkin, Clinical Professor In The Department Of Obstetrics, Gynecology And Reproductive Sciences At The Yale University School Of Medicine Said Greene Was Incorrect

Dr. Minkin Said The Plan B Contraceptive Pill “Certainly Does Not Kill Babies.” According to Yahoo Life, “Plan B certainly does not kill babies,’ says Dr. Mary Jane Minkin, clinical professor in the department of obstetrics, gynecology and reproductive sciences at the Yale University School of Medicine. ‘Its mechanism of action is to prevent ovulation so that you won’t release that egg if there is sperm hanging around,’ she adds.” [Yahoo Life, [6/25/21](#)]

Dr. Minkin Said Plan B Was “To Prevent Ovulation So That You Won’t Release That Egg If There Is Sperm Hanging Around.” According to Yahoo Life, “Plan B certainly does not kill babies,’ says Dr. Mary Jane Minkin, clinical professor in the department of obstetrics, gynecology and reproductive sciences at the Yale University School of Medicine. ‘Its mechanism of action is to prevent ovulation so that you won’t release that egg if there is sperm hanging around,’ she adds.” [Yahoo Life, [6/25/21](#)]

Greene Praised Texas’ Extreme Abortion Ban

GREENE PRAISED A TEXAS LAW BANNING ABORTION BEFORE MOST PEOPLE KNOW THEY ARE PREGNANT

August 2021: Texas Enacted A Law Banning Abortion Before Many People Know They Are Pregnant

August 2021: Texas Enacted A Law Banning Abortion Before Many People Know They Are Pregnant. According to the Texas Tribune, “One of the nation’s most restrictive abortion bills — which bans abortions as early as six weeks into pregnancy — took effect at midnight after the U.S. Supreme Court did not take action on an emergency appeal by Texas abortion providers Tuesday. The law prohibits abortions whenever an ultrasound can detect what lawmakers defined as a fetal ‘heartbeat,’ though medical and legal experts say this term is misleading because embryos don’t possess a heart at that developmental stage. Providers and abortion rights advocacy groups say this would affect at least 85% of the abortions taking place in the state. Many people don’t know they are pregnant within the first six weeks.” [Texas Tribune, [8/31/21](#)]

September 2021: Greene Praised The Texas Abortion Ban

September 2021: Greene Called Texas’ Abortion Ban “Good Things.” According to Greene’s Twitter, “Already good things happening from Texas new abortion law. God bless Texas and all states working hard to end abortion.” [Twitter, [@mtgreenee, 9/3/21](#)]

Greene Had A History Of Making Offensive And Incendiary Remarks About Abortion

GREENE CALLED ABORTION THE “WORST SCAR” THAT A PERSON COULD “CARRY”

Greene Called Abortion “The Worst Scar A Woman Can Carry For The Rest Of Her Life.” According to LGBTQ Nation, “Greene accused Democrats of trying to separate America by identity group ‘whether it’s transgenders, Blacks, Hispanics’ after Bannon asked her about ‘the war on women.’ ‘They want everybody to be a victim and then they need them to be dependent on the Democrats and their policies and their plans and goals in order to be able to survive,’ Greene insisted. ‘Now we have the Democrat Party that claims to be the champion for women. They claim that abortion is pregnancy rights but instead that’s killing a baby in a woman’s womb. It’s actually the worst scar a woman can carry for the rest of her life.’” [LGBTQ Nation, [6/24/21](#)]

GREENE CALLED FOR PEOPLE TO REMAIN CELIBATE TO AVOID ABORTION

Greene: “If You Don’t Want To Become Pregnant Right Now, Choose To Not Have Sex.” According to Newsweek, “U.S. Republican representative Marjorie Taylor Greene has told women that if they do not want to get pregnant they should stay celibate, at an anti-abortion speech she posted on Twitter on Tuesday. Addressing onlookers, Greene, who is a representative for Georgia’s 14th congressional district, said: ‘Women need to make better choices. If we want to be women who make choices, here’s one thing you can choose. If you don’t want to become pregnant right now, choose to not have sex.’” [Newsweek, [5/18/22](#)]

GREENE SAID SHE DID NOT THINK AN ELDERLY WOMAN COULD EXPRESS HER OPINION ON ABORTION BECAUSE SHE COULD POSSIBLY NO LONGER OVULATE

Greene Responded To An Elderly Caller Who Expressed Their Position On Abortion By Asking Her If She Was Having Children Soon. According to Vanity Fair, “During a call-in show on Monday night, Greene was confronted by a woman who told her, ‘My body is my body and I don’t want the government telling me what I can do with my body.’ Based on her voice alone, the caller sounded like she might be elderly and while her age is obviously not relevant, Greene insisted it was. ‘Ma’am, are you having children any time soon?’ she asked, not pausing for an answer. ‘That’s my question, I’m asking a legitimate question.’ She then went on to insist that ‘abortion is murder’ before circling back to her premise that the caller should not get to express her opinion because she possibly no longer ovulates. ‘I don’t think you’re having children any time soon,’ Greene said. ‘So I appreciate your interest in women’s rights but killing an unborn baby is not a woman’s right and that’s not health care.’” [Vanity Fair, [10/25/22](#)]

GREENE CALLED ABORTION “THE MURDER OF A CHILD”

Greene Called Abortion “The Murder Of A Child. It's The Murder Of A Human Being.” According to Raw Story, “Rep. Marjorie Taylor Greene (R-GA) held a hearing Tuesday on the alleged ‘black market of baby harvesting’ through abortions. [...] ‘The other problem about talking about abortion, while we’re talking about abortion, is that most people refuse to really talk about abortion,’ she opined. ‘And while we’re not condemning any woman at all, no women are being condemned today, we’re talking about what an abortion actually is.’ ‘It’s the murder of a child. It’s the murder of a human being.’” [Raw Story, [3/19/24](#)]

Greene Voted To Erect Additional Barriers To Abortion Access

GREENE EFFECTIVELY VOTED AGAINST REAFFIRMING THE FDA’S AUTHORITY TO APPROVE REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH PRODUCTS

2022: Greene Effectively Voted Against Reaffirming The Preemption Of The FDA’s Authority Regarding Reproductive Health Product Approvals Over State Or Local Regulations That Restrict Reproductive Health Products. In December 2022, according to the Congressional Quarterly, Greene voted against the “automatic agreement to [...] a resolution (H Res 1434) that would reaffirm that the Food and Drug Administration’s authorities to approve or authorize reproductive health products have a preemptive effect with respect to any state or local laws that inhibit access to or use of any reproductive health product.” The vote was on the adoption of the rule and automatic agreement to the resolution. The House adopted the rule and adopted the resolution by a vote of 216 – 193. [House Vote 531, [12/21/22](#); Congressional Quarterly, [12/21/22](#); Congressional Actions, [H.Res. 1529](#); Congressional Actions, [H.Res. 1434](#)]

The Legislation Would Allow The FDA To Block States From Restricting Medication Abortion

The Legislation Would Allow The FDA To Block States From Restricting Access To Medication Abortion. According to a press release from Rep. Diana DeGette (D-CO), “The U.S. House of Representatives approved a measure by U.S. Reps. Diana DeGette (D-CO) and Mondaire Jones (D-NY) to reaffirm the federal Food and Drug Administration’s authority to block states from enacting new regulations that would limit a patient’s access to reproductive health care products – including abortion pills.” [Rep. Diana DeGette (D-CO), Press Release, [12/22/22](#)]

GREENE VOTED TO PROHIBIT INTERSTATE TRAVEL FOR ABORTION SERVICES

2022: Greene Voted Against Prohibiting Individuals Acting Under State Law From Restricting An Individual’s Access To Out-Of-State Abortion Services And Against Prohibiting The Restriction Of Interstate Movement Against Any FDA-Approved Abortion Drug. In July 2022, according to Congressional Quarterly, Greene voted against the Ensuring Women’s Right to Reproductive Freedom Act, which would “prohibit individuals from interfering with patients’ ability to access to abortion services in another state where the services are legal. Specifically, it would prohibit any person acting under color of state law from preventing, restricting or retaliating against health care providers’ ability to provide abortion services that are legal in the provider’s state to patients who do not reside in that state; a person’s ability to assist in providing such services; or a person’s ability to travel or assist another person traveling across state lines to obtain an abortion.

It would also prohibit individuals from preventing, restricting or retaliating against the interstate movement of any drug approved by the Food and Drug Administration for the termination of a pregnancy.” The vote was on passage. The House passed the bill by a vote 223-205, thus the bill was sent to the Senate. The Senate did not take substantive action on the bill. [House Vote 362, [7/15/22](#); Congressional Quarterly, [7/15/22](#); Congressional Actions, [H.R. 8297](#)]

2022: Greene Effectively Voted Three Times Against Protecting Interstate Travel For People Seeking Abortion Services In Other States

2022: Greene Effectively Voted Against Protecting Interstate Travel For People Seeking Abortion Services In Other States. In July 2022, according to Congressional Quarterly, Greene voted for the “Johnson, R-La., motion to recommit the bill to the House Energy and Commerce Committee.” The vote was on a motion to recommit. The House rejected the motion by a vote 209-219. [House Vote 361, [7/15/22](#); Congressional Quarterly, [7/15/22](#); Congressional Actions, [H.R. 8297](#)]

2022: Greene Effectively Voted Against Protecting Interstate Travel For People Seeking Abortion Services In Other States. In July 2022, according to Congressional Quarterly, Greene voted against the “adoption of the rule (H Res 1224) that would provide for House consideration of [...] the Ensuring Women's Right to Reproductive Freedom Act (HR 8297).” The vote was on the adoption of the rule. The House adopted the rule by a vote 217-204. [House Vote 304, [7/13/22](#); Congressional Quarterly, [7/13/22](#); Congressional Actions, [H.R. 8297](#); Congressional Actions, [H.Res. 1224](#)]

2022: Greene Effectively Voted Against Protecting Interstate Travel For People Seeking Abortion Services In Other States. In July 2022, according to Congressional Quarterly, Greene voted against the “motion to order the previous question (thus ending debate and possibility of amendment) on the rule (H Res 1224) that would provide for House consideration of [...] the Ensuring Women's Right to Reproductive Freedom Act (HR 8297).” The vote was on a motion to order the previous question. The House agreed to the motion by a vote 218-208. [House Vote 303, [7/13/22](#); Congressional Quarterly, [7/13/22](#); Congressional Actions, [H.R. 8297](#); Congressional Actions, [H.Res. 1224](#)]

GREENE VOTED AGAINST ENDING A PROHIBITION OF LOCAL AND FEDERAL FUNDS FOR ABORTION SERVICES IN WASHINGTON, D.C.

2022: Greene Voted Against Ending A Prohibition On The Use Of Local And Federal Funds For Abortion Services In D.C. In July 2022, according to Congressional Quarterly, Greene voted against the Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2023, which would “end a prohibition on the use of local and federal funds for abortion services in the District of Columbia.” The vote was on passage. The House passed the bill by a vote 220-207, thus the bill was sent to the Senate. The Senate did not take substantive action on the legislation. Congress passed and signed into law the FY 2023 Budget through H.R. 2617. [House Vote 383, [7/20/22](#); Congressional Quarterly, [7/20/22](#); Congressional Actions, [H.R. 8294](#)]

Greene Voted To Limit Reproductive Care For U.S. Service Members

GREENE VOTED AGAINST THE DEFENSE DEPARTMENT REPRODUCTIVE SERVICE REIMBURSEMENT POLICY

2023: Greene Voted To Prohibit The Use Of VA Funding To Provide Abortions Or Allow Abortion Counseling. In July 2023, according to Congressional Quarterly, Greene voted for the Military Construction, Veterans Affairs, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2024, which would “also prohibit the use of the bill's funds to provide abortions, to implement a September 2022 VA rule that allows abortion counseling and establishes exceptions for the prohibition on abortions in the medical benefits package for veterans and civilian beneficiaries, to provide surgical procedures or hormone therapies for gender-affirming care, and to fly or display a flag over a VA facility or national cemetery that is not the U.S. flag, military-related or another government jurisdiction” The vote was on passage. The House passed the bill by a vote of 219 to 211, thus the bill was sent to the Senate. [House Vote 380, [7/27/23](#); Congressional Quarterly, [7/27/23](#); Congressional Actions, [H.R. 4366](#)]

- **The Bill Would Prevent VA Medical Centers From Performing Abortions.** According to The Hill, “But the administration did not hold back its criticism of policies in the bill it said would prevent VA medical centers from being able to perform abortions or ‘provide hormone therapies for the purpose of gender-affirming care.’” [The Hill, [7/27/23](#)]

2023: Greene Voted To Repeal A 2022 Defense Department Policy Regarding Reproductive Health Care And Prohibit The Defense Department From Paying For Abortion Services. In July 2023, according to Congressional Quarterly, Greene voted for the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024, which would “repeal a 2022 Defense Department memorandum regarding access to reproductive health care and prohibit the department from paying for or reimbursing expenses relating to abortion services.” The vote was on passage. The House passed the bill by a vote of 219 to 210, thus the bill was sent to the Senate. [House Vote 328, [7/14/23](#); Congressional Quarterly, [7/14/23](#); Congressional Actions, [H.R. 2670](#)]

2023: Greene Voted For An Amendment That Would Repeal A Reproductive Health Care Policy In The Defense Department And Prohibit The Department From Paying For Abortion Services. In July 2023, according to Congressional Quarterly, Greene voted for an amendment to the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2024, which would “repeal a 2022 Defense Department memorandum regarding access to reproductive health care and prohibit the department from paying for or reimbursing expenses relating to abortion services.” The vote was on the adoption of an amendment. The House adopted the amendment by a vote of 221 to 213. [House Vote 300, [7/13/23](#); Congressional Quarterly, [7/13/23](#); Congressional Actions, [H.R. 2670](#); Congressional Actions, [H.Amdt. 222](#)]

GREENE EFFECTIVELY VOTED TO RESTRICT ACCESS TO REPRODUCTIVE CARE TO SERVICE MEMBERS AND THEIR FAMILIES

2023: Greene Effectively Voted To Repeal A 2022 Memorandum Regarding Traveling For Reproductive Care. In September 2023, according to Congressional Quarterly, Greene voted against the “motion to instruct conferees on the part of the House to disagree to section 716, which would repeal an October 2022 Defense Department memorandum concerning traveling for reproductive health care.” The vote was on a motion to instruct conferees. The House rejected the motion by a vote of 205 to 214. [House Vote 400, [9/20/23](#); Congressional Quarterly, [9/20/23](#); Congressional Actions, [H.R. 2670](#)]

- **The 2022 Memorandum Ensured Service Members And Their Families Access To Reproductive Care.** According to the Congressional Research Service, “On October 20, 2022, the Secretary of Defense issued a memorandum directing actions to ensure that servicemembers and their families ‘can access reproductive health care and [DOD] health care providers can operate effectively.’ The memo directed the department to establish travel and transportation allowances for servicemembers and their dependents to obtain noncovered reproductive health care that is unavailable in the ‘local area of a Service member’s permanent duty station,’ and establish a reimbursement program to assist a DOD health care provider with obtaining a professional license in another state ‘in order to support the performance of their official duties.’ Section 716 would repeal the October 20, 2022, DOD memorandum and prohibit DOD from using funds to implement the memorandum or a potential successor memorandum. The provision would also amend 10 U.S.C. §1093 to prohibit the Secretary of Defense from paying for or reimbursing fees or expenses for DOD health care providers to obtain a health care license in a state for the purpose of providing abortion services.” [Congressional Research Service, [9/6/23](#)]

Greene Supported So-Called “Born-Alive” Legislation

GREENE VOTED FOR THE SO-CALLED “BORN-ALIVE” ABORTION SURVIVORS PROTECTION ACT

2023: Greene Voted For The Born-Alive Abortion Survivors Protection Act, Which Would Mandate Health Care Workers To Provide Proper Medical Care To An Infant Born After A Failed Abortion. In January 2023, according to Congressional Quarterly, Greene voted for Born-Alive Abortion Survivors Protection Act, which would “require health care practitioners to provide the same care to a child that is ‘born alive’ after an abortion or attempted abortion as they would for a child born at the same gestational age and to ensure the child is immediately transported and admitted to a hospital; require hospital and clinic practitioners and employees to report any knowledge of failures to provide such care; and impose criminal fines and penalties for failures to meet these requirements. It would state that a child born alive under these conditions is a legal person under U.S. law, entitled to the protections of U.S. law, and it would specifically make any act that kills or attempts to kill such a child punishable as murder or attempted murder. The bill would also prohibit the prosecution of the mother of a child born alive after an abortion or attempted abortion and permit such mothers to seek relief through civil action against any person who violates the bill’s requirements, including monetary and punitive damages.” The vote was on passage. The House passed the bill by a vote of 220-210, thus the bill was sent to the Senate. [House Vote 29, [1/11/23](#); Congressional Quarterly, [1/11/23](#); Congressional Actions, [H.R. 26](#)]

2023: Greene Effectively Voted For The Born-Alive Abortion Survivors Protection Act, Which Would Mandate Health Care Workers To Provide Proper Medical Care To An Infant Born After A Failed Abortion. In January 2023, according to Congressional Quarterly, Greene voted against the “motion to recommit the bill that would require medical professionals to provide medical care for children who are born alive during an attempted abortion procedure to the House Judiciary Committee.” The vote was on a motion to recommit. The House rejected the motion by a vote of 212-219. [House Vote 28, [1/11/23](#); Congressional Quarterly, [1/11/23](#); Congressional Actions, [H.R. 26](#)]

SO-CALLED “BORN-ALIVE” LEGISLATION WOULD UNNECESSARILY CRIMINALIZE DOCTORS

Vox: “Reproductive Rights And Physician Groups Say The Bill Could Criminalize Doctors And Is Unnecessary.” According to Vox, “The Senate Judiciary Committee on Tuesday is hearing testimony on a bill that would put in place requirements for the care of infants born after failed abortions — and could send doctors to prison if they fail to comply [...] But reproductive rights and physician groups say the bill could criminalize doctors and is unnecessary — not only because a live birth after an abortion attempt is an extremely unlikely scenario but also because laws already exist to protect an infant in this instance anyway. ‘The bill maligns and vilifies providers and patients to push a false narrative about abortion later in pregnancy,’ Dr. Kristyn Brandi, a board member of Physicians for Reproductive Health, told Vox in an email last year.” [Vox, [2/25/19](#)]

Abortions Later In Pregnancy Were Very Rare, And Were Not Requested By Patients In Labors. According to Vox, “Abortions in the third trimester are very rare: Just 1.4 percent of all abortions take place at 21 weeks or beyond, according to Planned Parenthood. The situation described in the Virginia committee hearing simply doesn’t come up, Brandi said — ‘patients do not request abortion when they are in labor and doctors do not provide it.’” [Vox, [2/25/19](#)]

Protections Existed For Babies Born After An Attempted Abortion. According to Vox, “Even if a child were to be born after an abortion attempt, she said, laws already exist to protect the baby. In 2002, Congress passed the Born-Alive Infants Protection Act, which guaranteed full legal rights to infants born at any stage of development. That bill, which passed with bipartisan support, did not include criminal penalties for doctors and did not impose specific requirements on medical care.” [Vox, [2/25/19](#)]

Greene Cited Anti-Abortion Talking Points When Answering Questions About Her Vote Against Life-Saving Legislation

THE TRANSPLANT ACT REAUTHORIZED THE NATIONAL MARROW DONOR PROGRAM AND THE NATIONAL CORD BLOOD INVENTORY PROGRAMS

The TRANSPLANT Act Would Help People Diagnosed With Leukemia And Other Diseases By Reauthorizing The National Marrow Donor Program And The National Cord Blood Inventory For Another Five Years. According to CNN, “The bill would reauthorize a program that matches bone marrow donors and cord blood units with patients who have leukemia and other diseases. The bill would help thousands of people diagnosed with leukemia and other diseases by reauthorizing the National Marrow Donor Program and the National Cord Blood Inventory for another five years.” [CNN, [4/16/21](#)]

GREENE CITED ANTI-ABORTION TALKING POINTS WHEN ASKED WHY SHE VOTED AGAINST TRANSPLANT ACT

Greene Said She Voted Against The TRANSPLANT Act Because “Nothing In This Bill Prevents The Funding Of Aborted Fetal Tissue By Taxpayers.” According to CNN, “Conservative Reps. Marjorie Taylor Greene of Georgia and Lauren Boebert of Colorado were the only two House members to vote against a bill that would reauthorize the National Marrow Donor Program. The bill H.R.941, or the TRANSPLANT Act, overwhelmingly passed the House in a 415-2 vote on Thursday night. [...] And Greene, who often makes outlandish claims to seek headlines, claimed that ‘Nothing in this bill prevents the funding of aborted fetal tissue by taxpayers.’” [CNN, [4/16/21](#)]

Greene Said She Wanted To Defund Planned Parenthood

GREENE SAID SHE WANTED TO DEFUND PLANNED PARENTHOOD

Greene Included Defunding Planned Parenthood As One Of Her Priorities For Congress. According to Fox News, “Greene laid out some of her priorities for Congress: stopping socialism, defunding Planned Parenthood, protecting law enforcement, declaring Antifa a domestic terrorist group, and tackling the massive debt.” [Fox News, [8/14/20](#)]

Greene Received Support From Anti-Abortion Groups

GREENE RECEIVED AN A+ PRO-LIFE RATING FROM SBA PRO-LIFE AMERICA

Greene Said She Was “Honored” To Receive An A+ Pro-Life Rating From SBA Pro-Life America. According to Greene’s Twitter, “I’m honored to receive an A+ Pro-Life Rating from @sbapro-life! Being a mother is the best job I’ve ever had. As thousands converge in our nation’s capital for this year’s March for Life, I stand united in the fight to defend the most vulnerable among us. #WhyWeMarch” [Twitter, @mtgreenee, [1/19/24](#)]