

ALLISON EID ON DEMOCRACY

Highlights:

- Allison Eid opposed a court-imposed redistricting plan.

Eid Opposed A Court-Imposed Redistricting Plan

2012: EID DISSENTED FROM A COURT DECISION THAT UPHELD A REDISTRICTING MAP IN COLORADO

2012: The Colorado Supreme Court Upheld A Court-Imposed Redistricting Plan

2012: The Colorado Supreme Court Upheld A Court-Imposed Redistricting Plan, Saying It Provided “Fair And Effective Representation For All Citizens.” According to the Supreme Court of the State of Colorado Opinion in “Hall v. Moreno,” “The trial court reasonably balanced the many competing non-constitutional factors in a manner that will maximize ‘fair and effective representation for all citizens.’ Reynolds, 377 U.S. at 565, 84 S.Ct. 1362. Its findings are supported by the record, which was compiled through a thorough, open, and fair process. Thus, we hold that the trial court properly applied the controlling law and adopted a lawful redistricting scheme.” [2012 CO 14, “Hall v. Moreno,” The Supreme Court of the State of Colorado, No. 11SC842, Filed [2/27/12](#)]

Eid Dissented From The Colorado Supreme Court’s Opinion

Eid Dissented From The Colorado Supreme Court’s Opinion, Emphasizing Preservation Of Existing District Lines. According to Justice Eid’s Dissent of the Colorado Supreme Court Decision in “Hall v. Moreno,” “In my view, the district court abused its discretion by failing to give adequate weight to ‘the minimization of disruption of prior district lines,’ contrary to section 2-1-102(1)(b)(IV), C.R.S. (2011). Because the majority similarly disregards this factor, I respectfully dissent.” [2012 CO 14, “Hall v. Moreno,” The Supreme Court of the State of Colorado, No. 11SC842, Filed [2/27/12](#)]

Republican-Aligned Lawyers Argued Similarly To Eid

Republican-Aligned Lawyers Argued Similarly To Eid, Highlighting Importance Of Preserving Existing District Lines. According to the Denver Post, “In a decision posted Monday, the Colorado Supreme Court says that a lower court was right in selecting a map that made many districts more competitive between Democrats and Republicans. Lawyers aligned with the GOP had argued that it was more important to preserve existing congressional boundaries. Republicans currently hold a 4-3 majority in the U.S. House delegation.” [Denver Post, [2/27/12](#)]